

**Tab. 5. *BRCA1* in-frame deletions detected in Czech patients.**

cDNA level	Protein level	Number of families	NFE frequency (gnom)	[reference]: additional information // ¥ (co-occurrence with deleterious <i>BRCA1</i> mutation) // § (detected in cancer free controls)	LOVD-IARC class [4] // our class (if different or not specified)
<b>c.1824_1826delGAA</b>	<b>p.Lys608del</b>	1	0.0036%	[32]: second Nuclear Localisation Signal (NLS2: AA 607-614); PROVEAN score: -11,436 (deleterious, cutoff: -2,5)	class 3; VUS
<b>c.1846_1848delTCT</b>	<b>p.Ser616del</b>	3	0,0016%	[43]: phosphorylation sites in <i>BRCA1</i> : S615, <b>S1616</b> , S617; PROVEAN score: -12,666 (deleterious, cutoff: -2,5) // ¥ [70]	class 3; VUS
<b>c.3418_3420delATG</b>	<b>p.Ser1140del</b>	1	0.0047%	PROVEAN score: -9,704 (deleterious, cutoff: -2,5) // ¥ [70]	class 3; VUS
<b>c.3785_3787delCAT</b>	<b>p.Ser1262del</b>	1	-	PROVEAN score: -2,176 (neutral, cutoff: -2,5)	- // class 3; VUS

cDNA – complementary DNA, NFE – non-Finnish European, LOVD – Leiden Open Variation Database, IARC – International Agency for Research on Cancer, VUS – variants of uncertain clinical significance

**Tab. 6. BRCA1 missense alterations detected in Czech patients classified as benign, likely benign and uncertain significance.**

cDNA level (HGVS nomencl)	Protein	Number of families	NFE freq. (gnom)	Prior	Align-GVGD	Splice prediction: average (MaxEnt /NNSPLICE/SSF) // $\Omega$ mRNA analysis	[reference]: other information // $\text{¥}$ (co-occurrence with deleterious BRCA1 mutation) // $\text{§}$ (detected in cancer free controls)	LOVD-IARC class [4] // our class (if different or not specified)
c.10T>C	p.Ser4Pro	1	-	0,02	C0	no effect		- // class 3, VUS
c.74C>T	p.Pro25Leu	1	-	0,29	C15			- // class 3, VUS
c.113A>G	p.Lys38Arg	1	-	0,3	C0	new donor site?		- // class 3, VUS
c.133A>C	p.Lys45Gln	1	0.0027%	0,04	C0	splice: -1,5%, // $\Omega$ (our study): no alteration	[34]: benign	class 1, benign
c.314A>G	p.Tyr105Cys	NC	0.013%	0,02	C15	no effect	[59]: multifactorial likelihood ratio - neutral; [34]: neutral // $\text{¥}$ [70]	class 1, benign
c.513A>G	p.Ile171Met	1	-	0,02	C0	no effect		novel // class 2, likely benign
c.536A>G	p.Tyr179Cys	6	0.036%	0,02	C35	no effect / $\Omega$ [67]: no change	[34]: neutral // [39]: <b>OR 1,68</b> (95% CI 0.82-3.45) // $\text{¥}$ [70]	class 1; benign
c.591C>T	p.Cys197Cys	NC	0.17%	0,04	-	- // $\Omega$ [27]: parcial enhancing $\Delta 9$ and $\Delta 9_{10}$	$\text{§}$ (our study)	class 1; benign
c.603T>A	p.Asp201Glu	1	- // novel	0,02	C0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.697G>A	p.Val233Ile	2	- // novel	0,02	C0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.736T>G	p.Leu246Val	NC	0.061%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[34]: neutral // $\text{¥}$ [38]	class 1, benign
c.755G>A	p.Arg252His	1	0.00079 %	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration		class 2, likely benign
c.827C>G	p.Thr276Arg	3	0.0055%	0,02	C0	no effect		class 2, likely benign
c.1016A>G	p.Lys339Arg	1	-	0,02	C0	weakens the likelihood of cryptic splicing		class 2, likely benign
c.1067A>G	p.Gln356Arg	NC	6.57%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[39]: <b>OR 0,99</b> (95% CI 0.95-1.03) // $\text{¥}$ [38] and (our study) // $\text{§}$ (our study)	class 1, benign
c.1333G>C	p.Glu445Gln	2	0.0045%	0,02	C0			class 2, likely benign
c.1441C>G	p.Leu481Val	NC	-	0,02	C0	no effect	// $\text{¥}$ (our study)	- // class 2, likely benign
c.1456T>C	p.Phe486Leu	NC	0.036%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[34]: neutral // $\text{¥}$ [38]	class 1, benign
c.1486C>T	p.Arg496Cys	3	0.030%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[34]: neutral // $\text{¥}$ [38]	class 1, benign
c.1487G>A	p.Arg496His	5	0.085%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[39]: <b>OR 1,05</b> (95% CI 0.77-1.43) // $\text{¥}$ [70]	class 1, benign

c.1511G>A	p.Arg504His	1	0.0032%	0,02	C0	no effect	[59]: multifactorial likelihood ratio - neutral; [32]: <b>first Nucler Localisation Signal (aa 503-508)</b>	class 1, benign // class 3 - VUS
c.1616C>T	p.Thr539Met	1	0.0032%	0,02	C0	no effect		class 2, likely benign
c.1648A>C	p.Asn550His	2	0.036%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[34]: neutral // $\text{¥}$ [70]	class 1, benign
c.1865C>T	p.Ala622Val	3	0.036%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[59]: multifactorial likelihood ratio - neutral; [34]: neutral	class 1, benign
c.2077G>A	p.Asp693Asn	NC	7.63%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[34]: neutral // $\text{¥}$ [38] // $\text{§}$ (our study)	class 1, benign
c.2123C>A	p.Ser708Tyr	2	0.0055%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration		class 2, likely benign
c.2128A>G	p.Thr710Ala	1	-	0,02	C0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.2167A>G	p.Asn723Asp	1	-	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[59]: multifactorial likelihood ratio - neutral; [34]: neutral // $\text{¥}$ [70]	class 1, benign
c.2315T>C	p.Val772Ala	1	0.019%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[39]: <b>OR 1,1</b> (95% CI 0.55-2.17) // [34]: neutral // $\text{¥}$ [70] // $\text{§}$ (our study)	class 1, benign
c.2412G>C	p.Gln804His	2	0.011%	0,02	C0	no effect	[59]: multifactorial likelihood ratio - neutral; [34]: neutral	class 1, benign
c.2477C>A	p.Thr826Lys	2	0.032%	0,02	C0	no effect	[34]: neutral	class 1, benign
c.2503C>T	p.His835Tyr	3	0.0018%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration		- // class 2, likely benign
c.2521C>T	p.Arg841Trp	9	0.24%	0,02	C15	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[39]: <b>OR 0,81</b> (95% CI 0.66-1.00) // [34]: neutral // $\text{¥}$ [38]	class 1, benign
c.2596C>T	p.Arg866Cys	2	0.024%	0,02	<b>C65</b>	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[59]: multifactorial likelihood ratio - neutral // $\text{¥}$ [70]	class 1, benign
c.2612C>T	p.Pro871Leu	NC	33.52%	0,02	C0	slightly enhancing cryptic splice? / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[34]: neutral // $\text{¥}$ [38] // $\text{§}$ (our study)	class 1, benign
c.2666C>T	p.Ser889Phe	1	0.0018%	0,02	C0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.2732G>A	p.Gly911Glu	1	-	0,02	C0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.2884G>A	p.Glu962Lys	1	-	0,02	C0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.3024G>A	p.Met1008Ile	4	0.031%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[39]: <b>OR 0,91</b> (95% CI 0.53-1.57) // [34]: neutral // $\text{¥}$ [70]	class 1, benign
c.3055A>G	p.Ile1019Val	1	0.00090%	0,02	C0	no effect		class 2, likely benign
c.3082C>T	p.Arg1028Cys	1	0.0032%	0,02	C15	slightly enhancing cryptic	[59]: multifactorial likelihood ratio -	- // class 2, likely

						donor splice?	neutral	benign
c.3113A>G	p.Glu1038Gly	NC	32.67%	0,02	C0	no effect	[34]: neutral // ¥ [38] // § (our study)	class 1, benign
c.3119G>A	p.Ser1040Asn	NC	2.03%	0,02	C0	no effect	[39]: <b>OR 0,95</b> (95% CI 0.89-1.02) // [34]: neutral // ¥ [38] // § (our study)	class 1, benign
c.3190A>T	p.Ser1064Cys	4	-	0,02	C0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.3296C>T	p.Pro1099Leu	NC	0.024%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[34]: neutral // ¥ [70]	class 1, benign
c.3302G>A	p.Ser1101Asn	1	0.025%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[59]: multifactorial likelihood ratio - neutral; [34]: neutral	class 1, benign
c.3454G>A	p.Asp1152Asn	10	0.0079%	0,02	C0	no effect		class 2, likely benign
c.3541G>A	p.Val1181Ile	2	0.00090%	0,02	C0	no effect		class 2, likely benign
c.3548A>G	p.Lys1183Arg	NC	32.57%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[34]: neutral // ¥ [38] // § (our study)	class 1, benign
c.3607C>G	p.Arg1203Gly	1	-	0,02	C0	no effect	[34]: neutral	- // class 2, likely
c.3640G>A	p.Glu1214Lys	3	0.013%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[59]: multifactorial likelihood ratio - neutral; [34]: neutral	class 1, benign
c.3708 T>G	p.Asn1236Lys	1	0.037%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration		class 3, VUS // class 2, likely benign
c.3711A>G	p.Ile1237Met	1	0.00090%	0,02	C0	no effect		class 2, likely benign
c.3713C>T	p.Pro1238Leu	NC	0.0095%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[59]: multifactorial likelihood ratio - neutral; [34]: neutral	class 1, benign
c.3748G>A	p.Glu1250Lys	NC	0.036%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[34]: neutral // ¥ [70]	class 1, benign
c.3823A>G	p.Ile1275Val	NC	0.0071%	0,3	C0	reducing the likelihood of cryptic splicing / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[59]: multifactorial likelihood ratio - neutral; [34]: neutral	class 1, benign
c.3914A>T	p.Asp1305Val	1	-	0,02	C0	no effect		class 3, VUS // class 2, likely benign
c.4036G>A	p.Glu1346Lys	3	0.0095%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration		class 2, likely benign
c.4039A>G	p.Arg1347Gly	NC	0.69%	0,02	C0	no effect / $\Omega$ [60]: no aberration	[34]: neutral // ¥ [38]	class 1, benign
c.4132G>A	p.Val1378Ile	2	0.0080%	0,02	C0	no effect		class 2, likely benign
c.4333C>A	p.Pro1445Thr	1	0.00090%	0,02	C0	no effect		- // class 2, likely
c.4342A>G	p.Ser1448Gly	1	0.0027%	0,02	C0	no effect		class 2, likely benign
c.4529T>C	p.Met1510Thr	1	- // <b>novel</b>	0,02	C0	slightly enhancing the likelihood of acceptor		// class 3, VUS

						cryptic splicing		
c.4535G>T	p.Ser1512Ile	NC	0.37%	0,02	C0	no effect	[34]: neutral // ¥ [38]	class 1, benign
c.4546A>G	p.Ser1516Gly	1	- // novel	0,02	C0	low likelihood of new acceptor splicing		// class 3, VUS
c.4625C>G	p.Ser1542Cys	1	0.0081%	0,02	C0	no effect		class 3, VUS
c.4636G>A	p.Asp1546Ans	3	0.012%	0,02	C0	no effect / Ω [67]: no change	[59]: multifactorial likelihood ratio - neutral; [34 42]: neutral	class 1, benign
c.4843G>A	p.Ala1615Thr	1	0.00090 %	0,02	C0	no effect		class 2, likely benign
c.4956G>A	p.Met1652Ile	NC	1.64%	0,03	C0	no effect / Ω [67]: no change	[39]: <b>OR 1,01</b> (95% CI 0.94-1.09) // [59]: multifactorial likelihood ratio - neutral; [65]: no functional effect; [64]: neutral // ¥ [38] // § (our study)	class 1, benign
c.5005G>T	p.Ala1669Ser	6	0.025%	0,03	C0	slightly reducing of the likelihood of the natural acceptor splicing: -10,6%	[65]: no functional effect; [64]: neutral	class 2, likely benign
c.5176A>G	p.Arg1726Gly	1	0.0099%	0,03	C0	no effect	[65]: no functional effect	class 3, VUS
c.5198A>G	p.Asp1733Gly	4	0.0032%	0,03	C0	no effect	[65]: no functional effect; [71]: <b>possible influence to p53 binding</b>	class 3, VUS
c.5206G>C	p.Val1736Leu	1	- // novel	<b>0,29</b>	<b>C25</b>	low likelihood of new acceptor splicing		- // class 3, VUS
c.5252G>A	p.Arg1751Gln	2	0.0072%	0,03	C0	no effect	[39]: <b>OR 0,85</b> (95% CI 0.26-2.77) // [65]: low functional effect // [59]: multifactorial likelihood ratio - neutral; [64]: neutral // ¥ (our study)	class 1, benign
c.5281T>G	p.Phe1761Val	1	- // novel	0,03	C0	no effect		- // class 3, VUS
c.5504G>A	p.Arg1835Gln	1	0.00079 %	0,03	C0	no effect		- // class 3, VUS

cDNA – complementary DNA, NFE – non-Finnish European, mRNA – messenger RNA, LOVD – Leiden Open Variation Database, IARC – International Agency for Research on Cancer, NC – not counted, OR – odds ratio, CI – confidence interval, VUS – variants of uncertain clinical significance

**Tab. 12. BRCA2 missense alterations detected in Czech patients classified as benign, likely benign and uncertain significance.**

cDNA level (HGVS nomenclature)	Protein	Number of families	NFE frequency (gnom)	Prior	Align-GVGD	Splice prediction: average (MaxEnt/NNSPLICE/SSF) // $\Omega$ mRNA analysis	[reference]: other information // $\forall$ (co-occurrence with deleterious BRCA2 mutation) // $\S$ (detected in cancer free controls)	LOVD-IARC class [4] // our class (if different or not specified)
c.125A>G	p.Tyr42Cys	19	0.22%	0,02	c0	no effect	[76]: functional assays: no effect; [80]: HDR assay: as wild type; [34]: benign; [39]: <b>OR 1,00</b> (95% CI 0.80–1.25) // $\S$ (our study)	class 1, benign
c.161A>G	p.Asn54Ser	1	- // novel	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.172G>A	p.Glu58Lys	1	0.00090%	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.198A>G	p.Gln66Gln	6	0.027%	0,02	c0	new acceptor site?		class 2, likely benign
c.223G>C	p.Ala75Pro	NC	0.036%	0,02	c0	no effect // $\Omega$ [72]: like wild type; [67]: no change	[34]: benign	class 1, benign
c.232C>T	p.Pro78Ser	2	-	0,02	<b>c65</b>	no effect		- // class 3, VUS
c.353G>A	p.Arg118His	2	0.0032%	0,02	c0	no effect		class 2, likely benign
c.375T>A	p.Asp125Glu	1	-	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.646G>A	p.Ala216Thr	1	- // novel	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.800G>A	p.Gly267Glu	1	0.0064%	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.825A>T	p.Lys275Asn	1	0.0018%	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.865A>C	p.Asn289His	NC	3.42%	0,02	c0	no effect	[39]: <b>OR 0,95</b> (95% CI 0.90–1.00) // $\S$ (our study)	class 1, benign
c.887A>G	p.Tyr296Cys	1	0.0057%	<b>0,3</b>	c0	<b>high probability of new donor site?</b>		class 2, likely benign // class 3, VUS
c.956A>C	p.Asn319Thr	1	0.0057%	0,02	c0	no effect	[76]: functional assays: no effect; [80]: HDR assay: as wild type; [34]: benign;	class 1, benign
c.978C>A	p.Ser326Arg	NC	0.14%	0,02	c0	no effect	[34]: benign; [61]: <b>OR 1,2</b> (95% CI 0.92 – 1.56) // $\S$ (our study)	class 1, benign
c.1114A>C	p.Asn372His	NC	27.70%	0,02	c0	no effect	[76]: functional assays: no effect; [80]: HDR assay: as wild type; [34]: benign // $\S$ (our study)	class 1, benign
c.1146A>T	p.Lys382Asn	4	-	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.1151C>T	p.Ser384Phe	NC	0.12%	0,02	c0	no effect // $\Omega$ [67]: no	[34]: benign; [39]: <b>OR 0,92</b> (95% CI	class 1, benign

						change	0.69–1.23); § (our study)	
c.1181A>C	p.Glu394Ala	3	0.0054%	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.1216G>A	p.Ala406Thr	1	0.0018%	0,02	c0	no effect		class 2, likely benign
c.1219C>G	p.Gln407Glu	1	0.0018%	0,02	c0	slightly enhancing cryptic acceptor site		class 2, likely benign
c.1292C>T	p.Thr431Ile	2	0.0067%	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.1329G>T	p.Glu443Asp	1	0.00090%	0,02	c0	enhancing cryptic acceptor site		- // class 3, VUS
c.1385A>G	p.Glu462Gly	1	0.044%	0,02	c0	no effect	[76]: functional assays: no effect; [80]: HDR assay: as wild type; [34]: benign;	class 1, benign
c.1514T>C	p.Ile505Thr	3	0.090%	0,02	c0	slightly enhancing cryptic acceptor site // Ω [67]: no change	[39]: <b>OR 1,10</b> (95% CI 0.80–1.52)	class 2, likely benign
c.1547T>C	p.Phe516Ser	1	-	0,02	c0	slightly enhancing cryptic acceptor site		- // class 2, likely benign
c.1729G>T	p.Ala577Ser	1	- // novel	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.1792A>G	p.Thr598Ala	NC	0.27%	0,02	c0	no effect // Ω [67]: no change	§ (our study)	class 1, benign
c.1814T>C	p.Ile605Thr	1	-	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.1817C>T	p.Pro606Leu	1	-	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.1964C>G	p.Phe655Agr	3	0.015%	0,02	c0	no effect	[82]: mouse embryonic stem cell-based assay: not pathogenic; [34]: benign; [39]: <b>OR 1,25</b> (95% CI 0.62–2.55)	class 1, benign
c.2091A>C	p.Lys697Asn	1	-	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.2162C>G	p.Pro721Arg	7	-	0,02	c0	slightly enhancing cryptic acceptor site		- // class 3, VUS
c.2320A>G	p.Thr774Ala	7	0.0054%	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.2348T>G	p.Val783Gly	1	0.00090%	0,02	c0	slightly enhancing cryptic acceptor site		class 2, likely benign
c.2416G>C	p.Asp806His	1	0.0048%	0,02	c0	no effect	[34]: benign	class 1, benign
c.2755G>A	p.Glu919Lys	1	0.00090%	0,02	c0	no effect		class 3, VUS // class 2, likely benign
c.2803G>A	p.Asp935Asn	NC	0.11%	0,02	c0	enhancing cryptic donor site	[34]: benign	class 1, benign

2879A>G	p.Lys960Arg	1	- // novel	0,3	c0	new donor site?		class 3, VUS
c.2926_2927 delinsAT	p.Ser976Ile	NC	-	-	c0	no effect		class 2, likely benign
c.2971A>G	p.Asn991Asp	NC	3.44%	0,02	c0	no effect	[39]: <b>OR 0,95</b> (95% CI 0.90–1.00); § (our study)	class 1, benign
c.3055C>G	p.Leu1019Val	NC	0.017%	0,02	c0	low probability of new donor site	[34]: benign; [39]: <b>OR 1,18</b> (95% CI 0.62–2.26)	class 1, benign
c.3073A>G	p.Lys1025Glu	2	0.0099%	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.3075G>T	p.Lys1025Asn	1	-	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.3211C>T	p.His1071Tyr	3	0.0018%	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.3256A>G	p.Ile1086Val	1	0.00082 %	0,3	c0	low probability of new donor site		- // class 3, VUS
c.3437A>G	p.Glu1146Gly	NC	0.00090 %	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.3515C>T	p.Ser1172Leu	NC	0.084%	0,02	c0	slightly enhancing cryptic acceptor site	[34]: benign // § (our study)	class 1, benign
c.3581G>A	p.Gly1194Asp	NC	0.011%	0,02	c0	no effect	[34]: benign	class 1, benign
c.3677A>T	p.Lys1226Ile	2	-	0,02	c0	no effect		class 3, VUS
c.3814A>G	p.Met1272Val	1	0.00100 %	0,02	c0	new acceptor site		- // class 2, likely benign
c.3839A>T	p.Asp1280Val	2	0.0062%	0,02	c0	no effect	[34]: benign	class 1, benign
c.3916G>A	p.Val1306Ile	1	0.0042%	0,02	c0	no effect	[34]: benign	class 1, benign
c.3941A>G	p.Lys1314Arg	1	0.00100 %	0,02	c0	low probability of new acceptor site	[34]: benign	class 1, benign
c.4054G>T	p.Asp1352Tyr	1	0.00090 %	0,02	c0	no effect	[34]: likely benign	class 2, likely benign
c.4061C>T	p.Thr1354Met	4	0.018%	0,02	c0	no effect	[34]: benign	class 1, benign
c.4090A>C	p.Ile1364Leu	1	0.0040%	0,02	c0	no effect		class 1, benign
c.4213A>C	p.Asn1405His	1	- // novel	0,02	c0	low probability of new acceptor site		- // class 2, likely benign
c.4241C>T	p.Thr1414Met	3	0.0056%	0,02	c0	no effect		class 1, benign
c.4258G>T	p.Asp1420Tyr	NC	0.78%	0,02	c0	no effect	[34]: benign [39]: <b>OR 0,86</b> (95% CI 0.77–0.96); § (our study)	class 1, benign
c.4301A>T	p.Lys1434Ile	1	0.0018%	0,02	c15	no effect	[34]: likely benign;	class 2, likely benign
c.4567G>A	p.Gly1523Ser	1	-	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 3, VUS
c.4585G>A	p.Gly1529Arg	2	0.068%	0,02	c65	no effect	[34]: benign [39]: <b>OR 1,09</b> (95% CI 0.73–1.63) // ¥ (our study)	class 1, benign



c.4718G>A	p.Cys1573Tyr	1	0.0018%	0,02	c0	no effect		class 2, likely benign
c.4745C>T	p.Thr1582Ile	1	-	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.4784A>G	p.Gln1595Pro	1	- // novel	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.4901T>C	p.Phe1634Ser	2	0.0032%	0,02	c0	no effect		class 2, likely benign
c.5003C>T	p.Ala1668Val	1	- // novel	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 3, VUS
c.5070A>C	p.Lys1690Asn	30	0.034%	0,02	c0	no effect	[34]: benign § (our study)	class 1, benign
c.5120C>T	p.Thr1707Ile	1	-	0,02	c0	low probability of new acceptor site		- // class 3, VUS
c.5191C>T	p.His1731Tyr	1	0.0018%	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.5312G>A	p.Gly1771Asp	1	0.044%	0,02	c0	no effect	[34]: benign; [39]: <b>OR 0,7</b> (95% CI 0.40-1.23)	class 1, benign
c.5350A>C	p.Asn1784His	1	-	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.5455C>T	p.Pro1819Ser	NC	0.025%	0,02	c0	no effect	[34]: benign	class 1, benign
c.5492T>C	p.Ile1831Thr	1	0.00090 %	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.5510T>C	p.Phe1837Ser	1	- // novel	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.5552T>G	p.Ile1851Ser	4	0.0100%	0,02	c0	slightly enhancing cryptic donor site		class 2, likely benign
c.5669T>C	p.Met1890Thr	1	0.00090 %	0,02	c0	no effect		class 2, likely benign
c.5704G>A	p.Asp1902Asn	NC	0.0024%	0,02	c0	slightly enhancing cryptic acceptor site		class 1, benign
c.5737T>C	p.Cys1913Arg	1	0.0040%	0,02	c0	slightly enhancing cryptic acceptor site		class 2, likely benign
c.5744C>T	p.Thr1915Met	NC	2.96%	0,02	c0	no effect	[39]: <b>OR 1,00</b> (95% CI 0.94-1.06); § (our study)	class 1, benign
c.5785A>G	p.Ile1929Val	1	0.011%	0,02	c0	no effect	[34]: benign	class 1, benign
c.5882G>A	p.Ser1961Asn	1	0.00090 %	0,02	c0	low probability of new acceptor site		class 2, likely benign
c.5885T>C	p.Ile1962Thr	1	0.0024%	0,02	c0	no effect		class 2, likely benign
c.5896C>T	p.His1966Tyr	2	0.0024%	0,02	c0	no effect		conflicting: class 3, VUS; class 2, likely benign
c.5960A>G	p.Gln1987Arg	1	- // novel	0,02	c0	reducing probability of cryptic splice		- // class 3, VUS

c.6100C>T	p.Arg2034Cys	27	0.50%	0,02	c0	slightly enhancing cryptic acceptor site	[34]: benign	class 1, benign
c.6223A>C	p.Lys2075Gln	2	- // novel	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 3, VUS
c.6289A>G	p.Thr2097Ala	2	-	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.6317T>C	p.Leu2106Pro	2	0.019%	0,02	c0	no effect		class 2, likely benign
c.6347A>T	p.His2116Leu	1	-	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 3, VUS
c.6338A>G	p.Asn2113Ser	1	0.0040%	0,02	c0	no effect	[34]: benign	class 1, benign
c.6427_6428 delinsAT	p.Ser2143Ile	1	- // novel	-	c0	no effect		- // class 3, VUS
c.6613G>A	p.Val2205Met	9	0.0045%	0,02	c0	no effect		class 3, VUS // class 2, likely benign
c.6650A>G	p.Lys2217Arg	1	-	0,02	c0	enhancing cryptic acceptor site		- // class 3, VUS
c.6706G>A	p.Glu2236Lys	1	0.0054%	0,02	c0	no effect		class 3, VUS
c.6806T>C	p.Ile2269Thr	1	0.00090 %	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.6821G>T	p.Gly2274Val	3	0.083%	0,02	c0	no effect	[34]: likely benign	class 2, likely benign
c.6829C>T	p.Leu2277Phe	1	-	0,02	c0	low probability of new acceptor site		- // class 2, likely benign
c.6881A>T	p.Asp2294Val	1	- // novel	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 3, VUS
c.7012A>G	p.Thr2338Ala	1	- // novel	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 2, likely benign
c.7057G>C	p.Gly2353Arg	1	0.0081%	0,02	c0	no effect	[34]: benign; [39]: <b>OR 1,94</b> (95% CI 0.58–6.46)	class 1, benign
c.7397C>T	p.Ala2466Val	NC	0,6%	0,02	c0	no effect // $\Omega$ [40]: no aberration; [67]: no change	[39]: <b>OR 0,64</b> (95% CI 0.35–1.19); [33]: HDR assay: neutral	class 1, benign
c.7402G>A	p.Val2468Ile	NC	-	0,02	c0	no effect		- // class 1, benign
c.7469T>C	p.Ile2490Thr	3	0.017%	0,03	c0	no effect	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: neutral; [39]: <b>OR 1,48</b> (95% CI 0.49–4.43)	class 1, benign // low risk allele?
c.7505G>A	p.Arg2502His	4	0.0063%	0,03	c0	no effect // $\Omega$ [67]: no change	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: neutral	conflicting: class 3: VUS; class 2, likely benign
c.7544C>T	p.Thr2515Ile	NC	0.096%	0,03	c0	no effect	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: neutral, [34]: benign; [39]: <b>OR 0,9</b> (95% CI 0.64–1.26)	class 1, benign
c.7633G>A	p.Val2545Ile	1	-	0,03	c0	no effect // $\Omega$ [62]: no aberration	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: uncertain	class 3, VUS

c.7765C>T	p.Pro2589Ser	1	-	0,03	c0	no effect		- // class 3, VUS
c.7864A>G	p.Asn2622Asp	2	-	<b>0,29</b>	c15	slightly enhancing cryptic acceptor site		- // class 3, VUS
c.7928C>G	p.Ala2643Gly		0.0045%	<b>0,64</b>	c0	new donor site? // $\Omega$ [72]: like wild type; [67]: no change	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: neutral; [76]: functional assays: inconclusive; [80]: HDR assay: as wild type;	- // class 3, VUS
c.7997G>C	p.Arg2666Thr	1	-	0,03	c0	no effect	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: neutral	class 2, likely benign
c.8111C>T	p.Ser2704Phe	1	0.0036%	0,03	c0	no effect	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: neutral	class 2, likely benign
c.8123C>T	p.Thr2708Ile	1	- // novel	0,03	c0	no effect	<b>novel</b>	- // class 2, likely benign
c.8149G>T	p.Ala2717Ser	NC	0.19%	0,03	c0	slightly enhancing cryptic acceptor site	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: neutral; [33]: HDR assay: neutral; [34]: benign; [39]: <b>OR 0,8</b> (95% CI 0,62-0,96)	class 1, benign
c.8182G>A	p.Val2728Ile	NC	0.40%	0,03	c0	no effect // $\Omega$ [67]: no change	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: neutral; [33]: HDR assay: neutral	class 1, benign
c.8187G>T	p.Lys2729Asn	4	0.0024%	0,03	c0	enhancing cryptic acceptor site	[76]: functional assays: no effect; [79]: protein likelihood ratio: uncertain; [33]: HDR assay: neutral; [34]: benign; [39]: <b>OR 2,8</b> (95% CI 0,29-27,64)	conflicting: class 1, class 2 // <b>class 3, VUS: low-middle risk allele?</b>
c.8417C>T	p.Ser2806Leu	1	-	<b>0,29</b>	c25	enhancing cryptic acceptor site	potentially clinically significant?	class 3, VUS
c.8503T>C	p.Ser2835Pro	1	0.010%	0,03	c0	no effect // $\Omega$ [67]: no change	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: neutral	class 1, benign
c.8567A>C	p.Glu2856Ala	14	0.22%	0,03	c0	no effect	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: neutral; [34]: benign; [80]: HDR assay: as wild type, [39]: <b>OR 0,94</b> (95% CI 0.78-1.13)	conflicting: class 2, likely benign; class 1, benign
c.8648C>T	p.Pro2883Leu	1	- // novel	0,03	c0	no effect		- // class 3, VUS
c.8710C>G	p.Leu2904Val	1	- // novel	<b>0,29</b>	c15	low probability of new donor site		- // class 3, VUS
c.8722G>A	p.Val2908Met	1	-	0,03	c0	no effect		- // class 3, VUS
c.8723T>G	p.Val2908Gly	4	0.0036%	<b>0,66</b>	c35	no effect	[76]: functional assays: no effect; [79]: protein likelihood ratio: uncertain; [33]: HDR assay: neutral; [34]: likely benign;	class 2, likely benign
c.8850G>T	p.Lys2950Asn	1	0.083%	<b>0,66</b>	c35	no effect // $\Omega$ [67]: no change	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: neutral; [34]: likely benign; [39]: <b>OR 0,97</b> (95% CI 0.72-1.32); [33]: HDR assay: neutral	class 1, benign
c.8851G>A	p.Ala2951Thr	33	0.49%	0,03	c0	no effect // $\Omega$ [67]: no change	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: neutral; [80]: HDR assay: as wild type // $\yen$ (our study)	class 1, benign
c.8905G>A	p.Val2969Met	27	0.046%	0,03	c0	no effect	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: likely deleterious; [33]: HDR assay: neutral;	class 1, benign

							[34]: benign; [39]: <b>OR 0,87</b> (95% CI 0.58–1.34)	
c.9038C>T	p.Thr3013Ile	NC	0.045%	0,03	c0	no effect // $\Omega$ [67]: no change	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: neutral; [80]: HDR assay: as wild type,	conflicting: class 1; class 2, likely benign
c.9104A>C	p.Tyr3035Ser	2	0.012%	<b>0,66</b>	c55	no effect // $\Omega$ [72]: like wild type	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: likely deleterious; [33]: HDR assay: likely neutral; [39]: <b>OR 2,5</b> (95% CI 1,0–6,05) // $\Upsilon$ (our study)	class 3, VUS // low–middle risk allele?
c.9155G>A	p.Arg3052Gln	3	0.0036%	<b>0,66</b>	c35	no effect	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: uncertain; [34]: likely benign; [39]: <b>OR 1,79</b> (95% CI 0.33–9.79)	conflicting: class 2; class 3 // low– risk allele?
c.9249A>T	p.Lys3083Asn	2	0.0018%	0,03	c0	no effect	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: neutral	class 2, likely benign
c.9275A>G	p.Tyr3092Cys	1	0.0071%	<b>0,81</b>	c65	slightly enhancing cryptic acceptor site // $\Omega$ [67]: no change	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: likely deleterious; [34]: likely benign; [80]: HDR assay: as wild type, // $\Upsilon$ (LOVD database)	class 2, likely benign
c.9292T>C	p.Tyr3098His	2	0.026%	0,03	c0	no effect	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: uncertain; [80]: HDR assay: as wild type, [34]: benign; [39]: <b>OR 0,7</b> (95% CI 0.35–1.38)	class 1, benign
c.9449C>T	p.Pro3150Leu	1	–	<b>0,81</b>	c65	no effect	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: uncertain	class 3, VUS
c.9458G>C	p.Gly3153Ala	2	0.0063%	0,03	c0	slightly enhancing cryptic acceptor site	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: neutral;	class 2, likely benign
c.9509A>G	p.Asp3170Gly	1	0.00090%	0,03	c0	no effect	[79]: protein likelihood ratio: uncertain; [34]: benign; [33]: HDR assay: neutral	class 1, benign
c.9628G>C	p.Gly3210Arg	1	– // novel	0,02	c0	enhancing cryptic acceptor site		– // class 3, VUS
c.9629G>T	p.Gly3210Val	1	– // novel	0,02	c0	enhancing cryptic acceptor site		– // class 3, VUS
c.9742A>G	Met3248Val	1	– // novel	0,02	c0	<b>new donor site?</b>	potentially clinically significant	– // class 3, VUS
c.9875C>T	p.Pro3292Leu	1	0.0054%	0,3	c0		[43]: P3292L- altering the phosphorylation of BRCA2 by ATM; <b>potentially clinically significant</b>	class 3, VUS
c.9975T>G	p.Phe3325Leu	1	– // novel	0,02	c0	no effect		– // class 2, likely benign
c.10234A>G	p.Ile3412Val	NC	0.16%	0,02	c0	no effect		class 1, benign

cDNA – complementary DNA, HGVS – Human Genome Variation Society, NFE – non-Finnish European, GVG D – Grantham Variation and Grantham Deviation, mRNA – messenger RNA, LOVD – Leiden Open Variation Database, IARC – International Agency for Research on Cancer, HDR – homology directed repair, NC – not counted, OR – odds ratio, CI – confidence interval, VUS – variants of uncertain clinical significance

**Tab. 13. BRCA2 intronic variants detected in Czech patients classified as benign, likely benign and uncertain significance.**

cDNA level (HGVS nomenclature)	Number of families	NFE (gnom)	Splice prediction: Alamut average (MaxEnt/NNSPLICE/SSF)	Ω mRNA analysis // ¥ (co-occurrence in trans with BRCA1 mutation) // § (detected in cancer free controls) // & (multifactorial likelihood ratio) // [reference]: other information	LOVD-IARC class [4] // our class
c.-33T>C	1	-	not predicted		- // class 2, likely benign
c.-32T>C	1	- // <b>novel</b>	+1,1		- // class 2, likely benign
c.-39-12_-39-10del	1	-	-12,5%		class 3, VUS
c.-11C>T	1	0.0047%	not predicted		class 1, benign
c.68-7T>A	21	0.24%	-25,6%	Ω [72]: Enhanced Ex 3 skipping; [83]: increased level of the delta3-transcript; [84]: risk of breast cancer <b>OR 1.03</b> (95% CI 0.86-1.24) // § (our study)	class 2, likely benign,
c.68-3T>G	1	-	-99,8%	Ω (our study): in-frame deletion of Ex 3 r.68_316del	- // class 3, VUS
c.317-22C>G	NC	-	not predicted	LOVD database: prevalence in normal controls in Austria	class 2, likely benign,
c.425+6A>G	1	- // <b>novel</b>	low probability of new donor site		- // class 3, VUS
c.426-37T>A	NC	-	not predicted	Ω [58]: like wild type	- // class 2, likely benign
c.475+22T>C	1	- // <b>novel</b>	not predicted		- // class 3, VUS
c.476-41A>T	1	- // <b>novel</b>	not predicted		- // class 3, VUS
c.476-16_476-15del	1	- // <b>novel</b>	not predicted		- // class 3, VUS
c.476-31C>T	1	- // <b>novel</b>	not predicted		- // class 3, VUS

c.516+14C>T	1	0.0063%	0.0063%	$\Omega$ [67]: no change; [73]: no aberration	class 1, benign
c.682-86delA	1	- // <b>novel</b>	not predicted		- // class 2, likely benign
c.682-34T>C	1	- // <b>novel</b>	not predicted		- // class 3, VUS
c.682-32A>G	NC	0.039%	not predicted		class 2, likely benign
c.6841+33A>G	NC	0.0018%	low probability of new acceptor site		- // class 3, VUS
c.6841+44dupT	1	0.00090%	slightly enhancing cryptic acceptor site		- // class 3, VUS
c.6842-29A>T	1	- // <b>novel</b>	not predicted		- // class 3, VUS
c.6937+38A>C	1	- // <b>novel</b>	enhancing cryptic acceptor and donor site		- // class 3, VUS
c.6938-35dupT	1	- // <b>novel</b>	not predicted		- // class 3, VUS
c.6938-15delA	1	-	-2,8%		- // class 3, VUS
c.7435+6G>A	1	0.0048%	+20,9%	$\Omega$ [58]: wild type; [40]: no aberration	class 2, likely benign
7435+54G>A	1	0.0067%	slightly enhancing cryptic acceptor site		class 1, benign
c.7617+15A>G	1	- // <b>novel</b>	not predicted		- // class 3, VUS
c.7618-22T>C	1	0.0032%	not predicted		- // class 3, VUS
c.7806-14T>C	NC	51.83%	-4,5%		class 1, benign
c.7976+23C>T	3	0.025%	not predicted	$\Omega$ [39]: no change	class 2, likely benign
c.7976+35C>A	1	0.023%	not predicted	$\Omega$ [74]: no change	class 2, likely benign

<b>c.8332-29T&gt;C</b>	1	- // <b>novel</b>	not predicted		- // class 3, VUS
<b>c.8633-28T&gt;G</b>	1	- // <b>novel</b>	low probability of new acceptor site		- // class 3, VUS
<b>c.9257-16T&gt;C</b>	NC	0.87%	not predicted	$\Omega$ [19]: like wild type; [39]: no change; [58]: wild type	class 1, benign
<b>c.9502-12T&gt;G</b>	2	<b>0.022%</b>	<b>-20,1 %</b>	$\Omega$ [85]: Ex 26 skipping r.9502_9648del p.(Asn3181_Leu3216del); [49]: weak effect: Ex 26 skipping (8%): role in BC is questionable	conflicting: class 2, likely benign or class 3, VUS
<b>c.9649-37A&gt;C</b>	NC	0.00090%	slightly enhancing cryptic acceptor site	// $\text{¥}$ (our study)	class 3, VUS // class 2, likely benign
<b>c.9649-20C&gt;T</b>	NC	0.014%	+2%	$\Omega$ [39]: no change	class 2, likely benign

cDNA – complementary DNA, HGVS – Human Genome Variation Society, NFE – non-Finnish European, mRNA – messenger RNA, LOVD – Leiden Open Variation Database, IARC – International Agency for Research on Cancer, NC – not counted, OR – odds ratio, CI – confidence interval, VUS – variants of uncertain clinical significance