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Summary
This manuscript is an introduction to the topic of multiple myeloma. Definition, incidence, etiology, pathogenesis and principles of diagnostics and treatment of multiple myeloma are described briefly in this work. It corresponds with Guidelines for diagnostics and treatment of the myeloma section of the Czech Hematological Society and the Czech Myeloma Group.
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Souhrn
Práce představuje úvod do problematiky mnohočetného myelomu, je zde stručně uvedena definice a incidence mnohočetného myelomu, jeho etiologie a patogeneze, dále diagnostika, terapie a prognóza tohoto onemocnění. Stručný přehled koresponduje s doporučením pro diagnostiku a léčbu dle guidelines Myelomové sekce České hematologické společnosti a České myelomové skupiny.
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**Definition and Incidence**

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a malignant B-lymphoproliferative disease characterized by infiltration of pathological plasmaocytes, osteolytic lesions in the skeleton and presence of monoclonal immunoglobulin (M-Ig) in serum and/or urine. MM comprises about 1% of all cancers but more than 10% of all hematological diseases. In the Czech Republic, the incidence of MM is 4/100,000. In Europe, more than 40,000 new cases are diagnosed each year. MM incidence is increasing with age; the median age at diagnosis is 65 [1].

**Etiology and Pathogenesis**

The etiology of MM is still unclear. MM pathogenesis is a complex multifactorial process. Series of genetic changes in the cell lead to tumor transformation. It is known that there are changes in the microenvironment of the bone marrow allowing for tumor growth. At the same time, the function of the immune system is decreased. Patients are cytopenic and immunosuppressed as B-cells and later T-cells are defective [2]. Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) is a precancerosis that may lead to MM in a months or even years. Translocations of immunoglobulin gene (Ig) are present in most patients with MM; translocations of the heavy chain loci are described in 70% of cases and of light chain in 20% of cases. In MM patients, genome instability is typical. Cytogenetic analysis of MM cells shows frequent mutations and chromosomal aberrations. Aneuploidy is very common. There are reciprocal chromosomal translocations involving the IgH locus, chromosome 13 monosity, loss of short arm of chromosome 17 and gains of the long arm of chromosome 1 and others [3]. Since several of these findings are connected to worse prognosis, FISH is performed to test for these [4]. Unlike other hematological malignancies, characterized by a limited number of genetic changes, MM is characterized by various changes; some of them are already used by clinicians as established prognostic markers [5]. Heterogeneity of MM seems to be related to molecular characteristics of the malignant clone [6]. There is also increasing knowledge about the role of cellular and molecular microenvironment of MM, angiogenesis and related factors, chemokines, etc. [7,8].

**Diagnostics and Clinical Symptoms**

Diagnosis of MM is generally easily done based on typical morphology of the bone marrow (presence of more than 10% of clonal malignant plasmaocytes), presence of monoclonal immunoglobulin in serum (mostly IgG and IgA) and/or in urine (light chains), as well as typical osteolytic lesions. Classical immunophenotype of malignant plasmaocyte is CD19-56+38+138+. Clinical features are non-specific; most common are bone pain, especially pain in the spine, decreased immunity – recurrent and complicated infections, also features connected to infiltration of the bone marrow – fatigue and bleeding. Hypercalcemia is present in many patients as well as worsening kidney function. Bone involvement is typical, especially of long bones (femoral and humeral), skull and spine where multiple compressive fractures of vertebral occur. Sometimes diffuse osteoporosis may be a sign of MM. In MM patients, total serum protein is usually elevated, sedimentation is increased and levels of physiological immunoglobulins are decreased. In certain cases, the disease may start as asymptomatic and may be diagnosed only after closer examination based on high sedimentation. For MM diagnosis and clinical staging, several classifications systems are currently used. Based on diagnostics criteria from 2003 [9], that are presented in Tab. 1, the differences between asymptomatic and symptomatic MM are defined. For symptomatic MM, the presence of clonal malignant plasmaocytes in the bone marrow, presence of monoclonal immunoglobulin in serum and/or urine as well as presence of the following criteria are necessary: hypercalcemia (C), renal insufficiency (R), anemia (A), bone involvement (B). These criteria are called CRAB and are specified in Tab. 1. Any CRAB criteria present in the patient is a clear signal for treatment.

**Treatment**

MM is still an incurable disease. Treatment is indicated in patients with symptomatic MM with presence of CRAB criteria. If the disease is sensitive to treatment, remission of various lengths is usually reached. Relapse or disease progression is common, and response to therapy in advanced disease is always worse. In MM treatment, combination of chemotherapy is used. Autologous
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**Tab. 1. Diagnostic criteria of multiple myeloma based on IMWG, 2003.**

For diagnosis of asymptomatic MM, first 2 criteria have to be fulfilled, while 3 criteria are necessary for symptomatic MM.

1. Amount of monoclonal plasma cells in bone marrow > 10% and/or biopsy of bone marrow shows plasmacytoma.
2. Presence of monoclonal immunoglobulin in blood and/or urine
3. At least one dysfunction or organ damaged caused by MM:
   - (C – calcium) increased calcemia above 2.8 mmol/l or the upper limit,
   - (R – renal) renal insufficiency with creatinine above 176.8 μmol/l (2 mg/dl),
   - (A – anemia) anemia, hemoglobin below 100 g/l or 20 g/l below lower limit,
   - (B – bone) osteolytic lesions or osteoporosis.

**Note:** Occasionally, even other types of organ damage may occur and are an indication for treatment. If connection to MM is clearly shown, they are used for diagnosis of MM as well.

Number of mg of creatinine/dl × 88.4 = amount of mmol/l
transplantation of hematopoietic cells is especially important (indicated for patients younger than 65, symptomatic MM, in the first line of treatment). Radiotherapy is important mostly as palliative treatment, while analgesics as well as bisphosphonates are needed as supportive care. In some cases of MM, pathological vertebral fractures occur and spinal cord may be compressed. In these cases, urgent orthopedic and/or neurosurgical operations are needed. Since the 90s of the 20th century, clinical studies have shown that high-dose chemotherapy with support of autologous transplantation significantly increases complete remission and average survival in comparison to standard chemotherapy [10]. However, it is not curative since most patients relapse. This treatment possibility increases survival to more than 10 years for 20% of MM patients [11].

Development in MM treatment in the first decade of this century has been unprecedented. Our treatment strategy has been changed, and the best clinical protocols increase overall survival of more than 5 years for 80% of patients, while the intensity of treatment is lower and tolerance of treatment is higher [12,13]. This advancement is connected to three new highly efficient drugs – thalidomide, bortezomib and lenalidomide that have been implemented into our treatment protocols based on guidelines of the Myeloma section of the Czech Hematological Society and the Czech Myeloma Group, based on positive results from randomized clinical trials phase III [4]. All three drugs are available in the Czech Republic. They are usually combined with glucocorticoids or alkylating cytostatics (melphalan, cyclophosphamide) leading to increased efficiency. The good news is that treatment options are being increased almost daily. Several new highly efficient drugs (pomalidomide, carfilzomib, bendamustin), which will increase treatment options very soon, will play a key role in overcoming resistance to previous treatment or increase survival of patients. Moreover, many new drugs are being tested in phase I/II of clinical trials.

Ten years ago we left the concept of maintenance therapy with interferon alpha and thought it was a closed chapter forever [14]. Surprisingly, we are returning to this concept. Results of randomized trials with lenalidomide in maintenance therapy are extraordinary [15]. Almost two-fold increased time to next relapse cannot be explained by antitumor activity of the drug. It seems to be connected to the immunomodulatory properties of lenalidomide [16]. After safe interval between treatments is clarified, it will lead to the next advancement of prognosis of MM patients since keeping the disease in remission remained one of the key problems in treatment of MM.

Prognosis

Average length of life of untreated patients is 14 months; the median of survival on standard therapy is 3–4 years after diagnosis; transplantation protocols increased life to 6–7 years and about 20% of patients live longer than 10 years. Using the newest treatment protocols, life expectancy has been increased to 5 years for about 80% of patients and it is possible that in 30–40% of patients will survive more than 10 years. Unfortunately, about 10% of patients are high-risk, and the disease is newly active within a year, usually signaling worst prognosis. Following treatment may increase life expectancy no more than 2–5 years [4]. Many prognostic factors are used in MM: clinical (age, type of IgG paraprotein, absence of renal insufficiency, complete remission), conventional laboratory markers (albumin, beta2microglobulin, lactate dehydrogenase, morphology of plasmoblasts, light chains, ...), molecular biological markers (normal karyotype, presence of hyperdiploidy, risk gene panel etc). Detailed description of significance of each prognostic factor is beyond the scope of this text. Classical combination of beta2-microglobulin and albumin form the basis of the international staging system (ISS) based on Greipp [17]. MM patients are divided into 3 clinical stages that are significantly differ in survival – patients in 1 stage survive the longest (median 62 months), while patients in stage 3 survive the shortest (median 29 months). It seems that this simple prognostic staging system is valid even in the era of new drugs, although it is not perfect [18]. Predictive factors are being intensively studied that would be connected to treatment. Autologous transplantation seems to be the key advancement of the late 90s, while novel agents are the hit of the first decade of the 21st century. Maintenance therapy of lenalidomide may be the next key factor for increasing survival of MM patients.

Conclusion

In this introductory article of the supplement dedicated to MM, we have introduced topics of clinical importance – diagnostics and therapy. Diagnostic criteria for MM, examinations necessary for MM diagnosis and therapeutic recommendations for MM were published in domestic and international journals repeatedly [4,19]. Prognosis of MM patients has improved exponentially after autologous transplantation and new therapy have been implemented. The difference between long-term survival in the 90s and nowadays (5% vs. 30–40%) is an unprecedented clinical advancement due to intensive research of the past decades. In the case of MM, one can clearly document the large benefit research has brought to treatment. This supplementation of Klinická onkologie has been dedicated to the methods of MM research. It is a pleasure and honor to write this introductory paper.
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